LEMBAR HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW KARYA ILMIAH: JURNAL INTERNASIONAL

Research : 2229-5518 : Vol. 8 No.8 : 1908 – 191 : - : https://ww	Agustus 2017 5 / IJSER Publish w.ijser.org/onlint-of-Capital-Str 00-Largest-Coopolar onal / Internasi Terakreditasi	ning, Inc. neResearchPap ucture-New-Evi perativesin-Wes	erViewer.aspx idence-Panel- it-Java.pdf
Research : 2229-5518 : Vol. 8 No.8 : 1908 – 191) : - : https://ww Determinar Datafrom10 : Google Sch	Agustus 2017 5 / IJSER Publish rw.ijser.org/onlin nt-of-Capital-Str 00-Largest-Coop olar onal / Internasi Terakreditasi	ning, Inc. neResearchPap ucture-New-Evi perativesin-Wes	erViewer.aspx idence-Panel- it-Java.pdf
Research : 2229-5518 : Vol. 8 No.8 : 1908 – 191) : - : https://ww Determinar Datafrom10 : Google Sch	Agustus 2017 5 / IJSER Publish rw.ijser.org/onlin nt-of-Capital-Str 00-Largest-Coop olar onal / Internasi Terakreditasi	ning, Inc. neResearchPap ucture-New-Evi perativesin-Wes	erViewer.aspx idence-Panel- it-Java.pdf
Research : 2229-5518 : Vol. 8 No.8 : 1908 – 191) : - : https://ww Determinar Datafrom10 : Google Sch	Agustus 2017 5 / IJSER Publish rw.ijser.org/onlin nt-of-Capital-Str 00-Largest-Coop olar onal / Internasi Terakreditasi	ning, Inc. neResearchPap ucture-New-Evi perativesin-Wes	erViewer.aspx idence-Panel- it-Java.pdf
: 2229-5518 : Vol. 8 No.8 : 1908 – 191) : - : https://ww Determinar Datafrom10 : Google Sch	Agustus 2017 5 / IJSER Publish w.ijser.org/onlint-of-Capital-Str 00-Largest-Coopolar onal / Internasi Terakreditasi	neResearchPap ucture-New-Evi perativesin-Wes ronal Bereputas	idence-Panel- it-Java.pdf
: Vol. 8 No.8 : 1908 – 191 : - : https://ww Determinar Datafrom10 : Google Sch	Agustus 2017 5 / IJSER Publish w.ijser.org/onlint-of-Capital-Str 00-Largest-Coopolar onal / Internasi Terakreditasi	neResearchPap ucture-New-Evi perativesin-Wes ronal Bereputas	idence-Panel- it-Java.pdf
: 1908 – 191) : - : https://ww Determinar Datafrom10 : Google Sch	5 / IJSER Publish w.ijser.org/onlint-of-Capital-Str 00-Largest-Coop olar onal / Internasi Terakreditasi	neResearchPap ucture-New-Evi perativesin-Wes ronal Bereputas	idence-Panel- it-Java.pdf
: - : https://ww Determinar Datafrom10 : Google Sch al Ilmiah Internasi al Ilmiah Nasional	rw.ijser.org/onlint-of-Capital-Str 00-Largest-Coop olar onal / Internasi Terakreditasi	neResearchPap ucture-New-Evi perativesin-Wes ronal Bereputas	idence-Panel- it-Java.pdf
: https://ww Determinar Datafrom10 : Google Sch al Ilmiah Internasi al Ilmiah Nasional	nt-of-Capital-Str 00-Largest-Coop olar onal / Internasi Terakreditasi	ucture-New-Evi perativesin-Wes ronal Bereputas	idence-Panel- it-Java.pdf
Determinar Datafrom 10 : Google Sch al Ilmiah Internasi al Ilmiah Nasional	nt-of-Capital-Str 00-Largest-Coop olar onal / Internasi Terakreditasi	ucture-New-Evi perativesin-Wes ronal Bereputas	idence-Panel- it-Java.pdf
Datafrom 10 : Google Sch al Ilmiah Internasi al Ilmiah Nasional	00-Largest-Coop olar onal / Internasi Terakreditasi	oerativesin-Wes onal Bereputas	t-Java.pdf
: Google Sch al Ilmiah Internasi al Ilmiah Nasional	olar onal / Internasi Terakreditasi	onal Bereputas	
al Ilmiah Internasi al Ilmiah Nasional	onal / Internasi Terakreditasi		⊢
	/ Nasional Inde	X	
Nilai M	Maksimal Jurnal	llmiah	
Internasional/ Int. Bereputasi	Nasional Terakreditasi	Nasional	Nilai Akhir Yang
20			Diperoleh
2			1.88
6			5,80
6			5,90
6			5,87
20			
			19,45
Jatinangor, O		ata hy	ornasi
	Internasional/Int. Bereputasi 20 2 6 6 6 20 IEWER MULTULLA Jatinangor, Reviewer 1,	Internasional/Int. Bereputasi 20 2 6 6 6 20 IEWER puwtalhiran da	Int. Bereputasi 20 2 6 6 6 20 IEWER puwtorkhirah data hy Jatinangor, 03 funi 2020 Reviewer 1,

Prof. Dr. R. Mohamad Ramudi Ariffin, M.Si.

NIDK: 880537001

Unit Kerja: Institut Manajemen Koperasi Indonesia

LEMBAR HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW KARYA ILMIAH: JURNAL INTERNASIONAL

Judul Ki Ilmiah Jumlah Penu Status Pengu		: : :	Determinant Cooperative 1 orang Penulis Man	s in W			ucture	: New	Evidence	Panel	Data	from	100	Largest
Identitas Juri Kategori Publi (beri √pada k Hasil Penilaiar	kasi K atego	ri ya	ang tepat)	ulan, T Penert I (Jika /Web	oit Ada) Jurnal Jurnal	Ilmia	Resea 2229- Vol. 8 1908 - https: Deter Datafi Googleh Inter	irch 5518 No.8 A – 1915 A//www minant rom100 de Scho nasional Te	Agustus 201 / IJSER Pub v.ijser.org/o t-of-Capital- 0-Largest-Co lar al / Interna trakreditasi	.7 onlineR Structo oopera	, Inc. esearch ure-Nev tivesin Berepu	nPaper w-Evide -West	Viewe	r.aspx? anel-
							Nil	ai Mak	simal Jurna	al Ilmia	h			
			ponen Dinilai				rnasion Bereput	110	Masional erakreditesi	No.	asional		lilai Ak Yang Diperol	3
a. Kelengka	pan l	Jns	ur Isi Artike	(10%)		2						1,0:	2
 Ruang Li Pembaha 	_						6						5,00	8
c. Kecukup Data/Info			mutahiran Metodolog	i (30%	5)		6						5,91	
d. Kelengka Terbitan,	/Jurna	al (3		S			6						5,70	1
Total =	-	-					20						0.0	
Nilai Pen	igusu											1	9,35	
a. Vasur b. Ruano c. Jumlo	of lin	o gu efe	AN ARTIKEL If the Co WP Seston renst coun rendstional	wp,	nefa long l Lemu	irva Plm Haul Atina	n cu	cvu 8	non femilye. Juni		ion C 2020	vuvp.		

Prof. Dr. H. Burhan Arief, Ir.


NUP: 9900981502

Unit Kerja: Institut Manajemen Koperasi Indonesia


LEMBAR HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW KARYA ILMIAH: JURNAL INTERNASIONAL

Judul Karya II <mark>miah</mark>		minant of Capital Struc ratives in West Java	ture: New Ev	idence Panel Data	from 100 Largest	
Jumlah Penulis	: 1 oran					
Status Pengusul		s Mandiri				
Identitas Jurnal	: a. Nan	na Jurnal		rnational Journal neering Research	of Scientific and	
	b. ISSN	J.		9-5518		
	c. Vol.	No. Bulan, Thn.		8 No.8 Agustus 20	17	
		aman/Penerbit		3 - 1915 / IJSER Pu		
		Artikel (Jika Ada)		2020 / 1002111 4	onsimig, me.	
Kategori Publikasi Kary (beri √pada kategori ya Hasil Penilaian <i>Peer Re</i> i	f. Repo g. Teri a Ilmiah : ang tepat)	pository/Web : https://doi.org/10.00000000000000000000000000000000000				
		Nilai Jurnal III	miah			
Peer Review	v 1	Peer Review	v 2	Nilai R	ata-rata Y	
KESIMPULAN : Nilai Karya Ilmiah Yan	g Diusulkan K	e LLDikti Wilayah IV ada	alah :	1		





URL: https://www.ijser.org/onlineResearchPaperViewer.aspx?Determinant-of-Capital-Structure-New-Evidence-Panel-Datafrom100-Largest-Cooperativesin-West-Java.pdf



URL:

https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=Hc1ihBYAAAAJ&hl= en&oi=sra#d=gs md cita-

d&u=%2Fcitations%3Fview op%3Dview citation%26hl%3Den% 26user%3DHc1ihBYAAAAJ%26citation for view%3DHc1ihBYAA AAJ%3Au-x6o8ySG0sC%26tzom%3D-420

Journal Information

International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research (IJSER)

SUBSCRIPTIONS

Advertisements

monthly by IJSER Publishing, Inc., France/USA/India **Subscription rates for academic Institutes:**

herein. We expressly disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. If ex-

The International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research (Online at www.ijser.org) is published

Print: \$150 per issue. To subscribe, please contact Journals Subscriptions Department, E-mail: sub@ijser.org

SERVICES

Advertisement Sales Department, E-mail: service@ijser.org Reprints (minimum quantity 100 copies)

Reprints Co-ordinator, IJSER Publishing. E-mail: sub@ijser.org

COPYRIGHT

Copyright©2017 IJSER Publishing, Inc.

All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in

any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning or otherwise, except as described below, without the permission in writing of the Publisher.

Copying of articles is not permitted except for personal and internal use, to the extent permitted by national copy-

right law, or under the terms of a license issued by the national Reproduction Rights Organization.

Requests for permission for other kinds of copying, such as copying for general distribution, for advertising or pro-

motional purposes, for creating new collective works or for resale, and other enquiries should be addressed to the Publisher.

Statements and opinions expressed in the articles and communications are those of the individual contributors and not the statements and opinion of IJSER Publishing, Inc. We assumes no responsibility or liability for any damage or injury to persons or property arising out of the use of any materials, instructions, methods or ideas contained

pert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person should be sought.

PRODUCTION INFORMATION

For manuscripts that have been accepted for publication, please contact: E-mail: ijser.secretary@ijser.org







WWW.IJSER.ORG

International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research (IJSER) PUBLICATION CERTIFICATE

Paper Number: 10106294

Paper Title: Determinant of Capital Structure: New Evidence Panel Data from 100 Largest

Cooperatives in West Java **Authors:** Sugiyanto

Type of the paper: (Please highlight)

□ Research □ Application □ Case Study □ Survey □ On-going □ Other

Evaluation: (Please highlight)

	Low				High
Significance of Contribution:	1	2	3	<mark>4</mark>	5
Originality of Content:	1	2	<mark>3</mark>	4	5
Technical Quality:	1	2	3	<mark>4</mark>	5
Clarity of Presentation:	1	2	3	<mark>4</mark>	5

Overall recommendation (Please highlight)

Accept in current state									
Accept with	min	or revision							
Major Revisi	Major Revision needed, recommend resubmission								
-									
Reject									

Any additional comments: Paper Published in IJSER Volume 8, Issue8, August 2017 Edition (ISSN 2229-5518).





Determinant of Capital Structure: New Evidence Panel Datafrom100 Largest Cooperativesin West Java

Abstract-Study of Capital structure in Indonesia cooperative entity is almost never be done, the sources of cooperative capital not only from internal source i.e. reserve of business surplus and members contribution but also derived from debts. Ability to raise capital also becomes a major problem for cooperative in Indonesia. The study was conducted to assess the financial performance as determinant factors affecting on cooperative capital structure. Descriptive quantitative research method used in this study, using new evidence panel secondary data from 100 samples size of largest cooperatives in West Java. Analysis data used regression correlation analysis approach either partially or simultaneously. The study results showed that partially are not all financial performance that analyzed as a determinant of cooperative capital structure, from six financial performancesthat measured, only sales stability, asset structure, and company size that become determinant factors that effect oncooperative capital structure, while profitability, liquidity, and business risk are not as a determinant factors of cooperative capital structure. But simultaneously all financial performances that analyzed by multiple correlation becomes determinant factors that effect oncooperative capital structure.

Keyword- Financial Performance and CooperativeCapital Structure

INTRODUCTION

ofcooperativeorganizationin lassicalproblems Indonesia related to cooperative's abilitytoraise capital. Cooperative was founded, managed and cooperative service is primary used by members. Cooperativemember as an owner and anuser of cooperative organization that mentions as dual identity of cooperative member.Cooperative as a business entitythat ownedby members. capital requirementsshouldbe membersthemselves. In 1987 the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) adopted just the three principles of user ownership, user control and user benefit.

The problem of cooperative capital gradually can be explained as follows: First, internal capital of cooperative that sources from business surplus reserve, the amount of business surplus reserveislimited because the primary cooperative orientation is not profit oriented but service for their members. So the amount of business surplus reserve is also limited. Second, capital source collectedfromcooperative members, there isstructuralweakness of cooperative capitalthat isvariability amount of capital required, its weakness in raising capital because the principle of cooperative that must be followed(SutaryoSalim, 2000). Another opinionstates that the capital function in a cooperative is handicapped, because the amount of benefits available for a member is not dependent on his capital contribution but on his patronage of the cooperative (Röpke J. 2002). Cooperative is less attractive to members, prospective members and other investors, who wish to become a member simply because it has excess capital, the real problemof cooperative equity capital accordance to member ability and participation to contribute cooperative capital. Third, another important capital sourcesfromdebt, 2015, cooperative

cooperativecapital resourcesnationally stillsourcefromdebt, thisconditionis notin accordance withindependence cooperative principle, and cooperativewas founded, managed and used by members.

Statistical data from Ministry ofCooperatives and SME's indicates that theperformance ofcooperative capitalin 2011 to 2015:



Table 1: Capital Structure Development of Cooperative in

Source: Financial Report of Ministry of Cooperative and SME's of Indonesia

Based on table 1, indicate that the source of cooperative capital derived from loan capital in 2011 was 53% and decreased until 42% in 2015. This condition can be interpreted that the ability of cooperatives in collecting loan capital is relatively decreasing.

As described above, that loan capital is one of the main alternatives for cooperatives because the ability of the cooperative to collect the source of internal capitalis limited and the amount of source of equity capital from members is small and gradually accepted. See the development of capital sources on table 1, the source of cooperative capital derived from loan capital decreased, this is due to various factors related to the performance of cooperatives that impact on public confidence in the cooperative was declining.

2015 changesof proportion From 2011 ofdebtcapitaltototal equityas capital structure, capitalstructure is the mix of the debt and equity the firm uses to finance its operations is either given or irrelevant to the decisions we've been making (Cornet et al, 2012). Capital structure can be measured by debt to asset ratio (DAR) or debt to equity ratio (DER), according to the same author those ratio is a debt management ratios measure the extent to which the firm uses debt (or financial leverage) versus equity to finance its assets.

At the same time, from 2011 to 2012, cooperative DER is greater than 1 (DER <1) and from 2013 until 2015 is lower than1(DER <1), illustrates that the proportion of cooperative debtis lower than their equity. Debt to equity ratio indicates as an ability of cooperative to collect debt capital to finance cooperative asset. Cooperative capital structure can be used as an indicator of cooperative sfinancial performance but the other sidemay also as a cooperative risk.

Determining the appropriate capital structure is a difficult decision that cooperatives need to consider several factors that can affectingon capital structure. Factors affecting oncapital structure is the stability of sales, asset structure, operating leverage, growth rates, profitability, tax, control, management attitude, the attitude of lenders and agencies ratings, market conditions, internal conditions and the company's financial flexibility (Brigham and Houston, 2001). Acaravci, Songul Kakilli (2015) identifies that from the empirical results present that there are significant relationships between growth opportunities, size, profitability, tangibility and leverage variables. But non-debt tax shields explanatory variable has insignificant effect on leverage 1 (book value of total debt/total assets) variable. Result of study by Lim, Thian Cheng (2012) show that profitability, firm size, non-debt tax shields, earnings volatility and non-circulating shares are significant influence factors on financial sector.

The aim on this study to access financial performance as determinant factor that effect on cooperative capital structure on the 100 largest cooperative in West Java. That is capital structure conditionsisnot different with cooperative capital structure nationally, DER<1.

2. LITERATUREREVIEW

Cooperativeis aneconomic movementbased on theprinciple of brotherhoodthat has important functionandrolein fosteringthe economic potentialof the realizethe prosperoussociety. people, and to Cooperativeactivities based oncooperativevalues and principles, whichareguidelinesforcooperativeworkin makinganyeffort, cooperativesaseconomic organizationsthatare notspecializedactivitiestocreate gainbut ratherto createthemembers welfare, in aformof satisfactory service, the principal task of cooperativeto support the economic interest of the member. Business decision should bebased on theinterests of the members, in order tostimulateandincrease theeffective participation of members.

In Indonesian Act No. 25/1992/ Cooperatives, Article 41, mention that cooperative capital consist of equity and debt capital. Source of equity capital derived from principal and compulsory saving, business surplus reserve, and grant. Debt capital derived from members, other cooperative and its member, bank and other financial institution and bond issued.

Brigham, E.F, (1999), describe that obtainingcapitalstructureindicatesthe sourceof capitalorcapital contributions fromownersandcreditors, financialcontributionofmembersas equityorshares, reservesandother deposits formation, therebycooperativecapital resourcescanalsobe obtainedfromits owncapital resources(equity) as well asthe source of loan capital (Debt) (Hanel, A1989).

Differences judgment regardingthe theory of capital structure continue until now, Modiglianiand Millerarguethat leverage (capital structure) is independent of the value of the company, and is known to irrelevance theory, Furthermore, Modiglianiand Miller concluded that leverage will increase the value of the company due to debt interest reduces the taxable income (Brigham, E.F., 1999).

Cooperatives are also faced by the decision of selecting capital sources, use of debt can be justified, if it can provide additional member service at better price. The theory of capital structure has been developed include:

(1) Agency theory, proposed by Jensen and Meckling (1976) Horne and Wachowicz,(1998), a management as an agent and owner as a principal. Principal hopes the agent will act on his behalf, to be able to function properly, the management should be given incentives and adequate supervision. (2) Signaling theory, that stated by Brigham and Houston (2001), a signal of management actions taken to give guidance to investors about how management sees company's prospects, companies with favorable prospects will try to avoid sale of shares and commercialize any new capital required by other means, including the use of debt that exceeds the normal target capital structure. (3) Asymmetric Information Theory, is a situation where managers have different information (better) about the prospects of the company owned by investors, asymmetry information occurs because management has more information than investors (Myers and Majluf, 1984), so that outside investors trying to capture signal activity manager to suspect the company's prospects. (4) Pecking Order Theory, companies like internal financing (retained earnings), if funding from outside (external financing) is required, the company will publish the safest securities in advance, which began with bonds issued or debt, and followed by securities that characterized the options (such as convertible bonds), finally if it is still inadequate, the new shares issued.

In accordance with this theory, there is not a target of debt to equity ratio, because there are two types of capital itself, namely internal and external, own capital from the company preferably on own capital that comes from outside the company. Companies prefer to use funding from internal capital, the funds derived from cash flow, retained earnings and depreciation (Myers 1996). The order of use of funding sources with reference to the pecking order theory is: internal funds, debt and equity. In this study, the capital structure is a combination of various sources of funding, with the main categories of debt and equity, which used by cooperative to finance cooperatives assets, the formula:

Many factors that predicted by experts as a determinant factors of the capital structure. The determinants of the capital structure right now is a difficult decision for cooperative organization, that need to consider several factors which can influence capital structure. The capital structure is defined as the ability to raise funds and partially offset by an increase in organizational performance. This is to maintain business continuity, trust members and stakeholders.

Risk factors of business, tax position, financial flexibility and conservatism or aggressiveness of management are factors that determine capital structure decisions; especially in the target capital structure (Brigham and Houston 2001).

The result of previous study shows that size of the company, characteristics/type of industry, sales growth, asset structure, operating leverage, non-debt tax shield and simultaneously profitability affect the capital structure(Windayu, CindeRirih2016). Ghoshet al, (2000) revealed that determinant variables of capital structure are growth of assets, fixed asset ratio, R & D Expenditure have significant effect on the capital structure, the same research have been conducted by Nyanamba, Steve Ondieki, et al (2013) The results of research identified the major determinants of the capital structure of micro-enterprises as being access to capital markets, size of the business, profitability of the business and lender's attitude towards the firm.

The other empirical research shows that the determinants of capital structure are: tangibility, firm size, growth rate, profitability, liquidity and dividend payout) have an impact on capital structure (Sangeetha, Ms.M. and N. Sivathaasan, 2013,Serghiescu, Laura and ViorelaLigiaVaidean 2014 and Lim, Thian Cheng, 2012).

Based on result of several researches above, determinant factors of cooperative financial performance that are affecting on capital structure in this study, limited by relating factor such as: (1) *Sales stability*, companies with relatively stable sales may be safer to obtain more loans and fixed using a higher burden in the form of interest on loans

compared with companies whose sales are unstable (Brigham and Houston, 2001). Company with sales relatively stable means having a stable cash flow as well it can use more debt than companies with sales of unstable. Sales stability, measured by growth in sales or service, according to the formula:

(2) Profitability, most of the empirical studies show that there are inconsistent theoretical predictions on the effects of profitability on capital structure. In the trade-off theory, more profitable firms should have higher leverage because they have more income to shield from taxes. The free cashflow theory would suggest that more profitable firms should use more debt in order to discipline managers, to induce them to pay out cash instead of spending money on inefficient projects (Bauer, 2004). Most empirical studies observe a negative relationship between capital structure and profitability (Huang and Song, 2005; Wahabet al., 2012; Yolanda and Soekarno, 2012, Tomak, 2013; Wahab and Ramli 2014). Profitability ratios show the combined effects of liquidity, asset management, and debt management on the overall operating result of the firm (Cornet et al. 2012). Profitability measures theability to generate profit from the level of sales, assets, orcertaincapital, thusprofitabilitycan be describedbyprofit margin, return on assetsandreturn on equity, thenetprofitof cooperativeorganizationassumed to be equalto the cooperative business surplus. Profitability is definedasearningsbeforeinterestandtaxto totalassets:

(3) Asset structure is also another important determinant of capital structure. AssetStructureisthe overallassets ownedbycooperativesorlisted on thebalance sheet, whichincludescurrentassetsandnon-currentassets.

Companies thathave acorrespondingproportion of assets to guarantee loanst end to use debt. There is a positive relationship between tangible assets and debt (Titman and Wessels, 1988). Companies that have the guarantee of debt will be easier to get debt than companies that do not have a guarantee (Brigham and Gapenski, 1999). The more tangible the firm's assets are the more such assets can be used as collateral. This will encourage borrowing. Assetstructuredescribes someamountof assetsthatcan bepledged as collateralvalueofassets. The asset structureis measuredby comparing thenoncurrentassets withoverallassets owned by the cooperative in a certain period.

(4) Liquidityis measuredby thecurrent ratioand current liabilities, highliquiditymeans the companyhas the abilityto payshort-term debt, so thattends tolower the totaldebt, whicheventually capital structure will be smaller. The empirical research shows that liquidity is adeterminant of capital structure and has an impact on capital structure (Serghiescu, Laura and Viorela Ligia Vaidean 2014 and Lim, Thian Cheng, 2012). The liquidity ratios measure the relationship between a firm's liquid or current assets and its current liabilities (Cornett al, 2012). Liquidity is measured:

(5) Businessriskisthe riskof thecompanyis currentlynotable coveroperationalcostsandinfluencedby thestabilityof incomeandexpenses. Earnings volatility can reflect the corporate business risk. It is generally a proxy for the probability of financial default (Titman and Wessels, 1988). businessrisktend Companieswithhigh avoidusingdebtfinancing. Since leverage increases the risk of financial distress, it is expected that earnings volatility is negatively related with leverage. As Qianet al. (2007) demonstrated, when firms have high volatility, cash will be accumulated during the flourishing period to avoid future underinvestment and thus the negative relationship is advocated from the pecking order hypothesis.Businessriskis the uncertaintyfaced bythe calculatedas companyin business.Businessriskis standarddeviationof returncan beshapedmargin, return on equityand theotherfor severalyears. Measured bythe formula:

(6) The Company size, describethe size of a company, measured bytotalsalesortotal Someresearchersuseassetor salesgrowthis positivereflectingthe thecompany, greatersize of thusmultiplyingalsofunding alternativesthatcan selectedin increasingprofits. Wald (1999), and Booth et al. (2001) provide evidence to support that large firms are leveraged.Inthisstudythe companysize measured by the amount of cooperative asset growth compared toprevious cooperative assets.

Pa,t Cooperative Assetsat year t
Pa,t-1 Cooperative Assets at year t - 1

3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Based on the literature review, research frameworkcan be describedon figure 1 asfollows:




Figure1: Model of Research Paradigm

Based onthe researchframework above, thehypothesiscan be formulatedas follows: Partially, withdrawnhypotheses: (1) There is effect of sales stability oncooperativecapitalstructure, (2) There is effect ofprofitabilityoncooperativecapitalstructure, iseffectof asset structure oncooperativecapitalstructure, (4) There is effectof liquidityon the cooperative capital structure, (5) There is effect ofbusinessriskon the cooperative capital structure, and(6) There is effect ofcompany size oncooperativecapitalstructure. And (7) simultaneously, the financial performance as determinant factors that effect oncooperative capitalstructure"

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1. Sample

The target population for this study comprised of 300 largest cooperatives in West Java, Indonesia and the sample size consisted of 100 cooperatives (n =100). This study used random sampling technique which falls in probability sampling domain for the selection of cooperatives sample.

4.2. Procedure

To accomplish above mentioned objectives and hypotheses, the data for this study are extracted from audited annual reports of 100 largest of cooperatives in West Java, this study utilize secondary data that collected over the population period of five years (2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015). In this study, different methods of statistical processing have been applied. SPSS software programmed exclusively applicable to statistical processing is used for processing data. Here, Correlation, Regression, and descriptive statistics are used to analyze the data. In this study use sales stability, profitability, asset structure, liquidity, business risk and company size as independent variable and cooperative capital structure is the dependent variable.

4.3. Method

This type of researchisdescriptivequantitativeresearch. Thesurvey methodon population is used. Methodsof analysisto explain thestrengthanddirection of the influence of the independent/explanatoryvariableson the dependent variableare using multiple regression models. Use of this analytical model, should avoid the possibility of

deviationby the classicas sumptions. The relationshipbetween the dependent variable (Y) with the independent variable (X) is described in the multiple regression models.

$$Y = a + b1 X1 + b2 X2 + \dots + bnXn \dots 9$$

Notes: Y as a dependent variable, a value of Y when X = 0 X1, X2,...,Xnas anindependentvariable 1,2, and-n bslope of variable X1,X2,..,Xn.

Regression Equation:

Y = a + b1X1 + b2X2 + b3X3 + b4X4 + b5X5 + b6X6 + b7X.....10

Notes:

Y = Debt to Asset ratio

 $b1 \dots bn = Coefficient of regression$

X1 = Sales Stability

X2 = Profitability

X3 = Asset Structure

X4 = Liquidity

X5 = Business Risk

X6 = Company Size

Hypothesistestis conducted bythe significance testof independent variables(Xi) to the dependent variable(Y) either partially or simultaneously with the statistical test (t - test) and F test.

5. ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

This studyhastesteda variety ofclassical assumptionsrequiredandconsidered important in themultiple regression analysis, theresults arethe data/residualis normal distribution/normal data, does notoccurmulticollinearityamongthe independentvariables, does

notoccurheteroscedasticityorvariantdisturbancevariablesco nstant(homoscedasticity),

and not occur autocorrelation between residuals of each independent variable.

The results of partial correlation analysis, the correlation coefficient(r) and the determinant coefficient(r²) to describe the effect of financial performance as determinant factors on cooperatives capital structure can be explained in the following table 2:

Table2: Correlationcoefficient, coefficientDeterminantandSignificanceEffect

	0001110101102 0001111111111111111111111									
No	Affecting Variables	Correlation Coefficient	Determinant Coefficient	Significance						
1	Sales Stability	0.489	23,9%	Significance						
2	Profitability	0.016	0%	Not significance						
3	Asset Structure	0.685	46,9%	Significance						
4	Liquidity	-0.066	0,4%	Not significance						
5	Business Risk	-0.015	0%	Not significance						
6	Company Size	0.502	25,2%	Significance						

Sources: Analysis result

Based on theanalysis results, it can be explained on the analysis of the effect of each independent variable in the form of a cooperative financial performance as a determinant factors on cooperative capital structure: (1) The

magnitude correlationcoefficientofeffect ofsales cooperatives stabilityon capital structureby r=0.489withdeterminant coefficient(r2)=23.90% asignificance testresultof 0.000, because theprobability of0.000less than 0.05, it can be statedthatsales stabilityeffectson cooperative capitalstructureis significantly. It means that the ability of cooperative storaise capitalsourcedfromdebtcapitalis influencedordeterminedby sales stability. (2) The effect ofprofitabilityoncooperatives capital structurewith acorrelationcoefficient (r)=0.016 ordeterminant coefficient (r²)=0.00%. Results oftests of significanceof0.902, greaterthan 0.05, it can be statedthatprofitabilitydoes noteffect on capitalstructure. So thehypothesis thatthere is an influenceon theprofitability ofcapitalstructureis notproven. (3) The effect ofasset structure oncooperatives capital structure. withr=0.685ordeterminantcoefficientofr2=46.90%. Results of significance testof 0.000, less than 0.05, it can be concludedthat hassignificant asset structure effect oncooperative capitalstructure. (4)ofliquidityoncooperative structurecan be capital explained that magnitude of correlation coefficient of r=-0.066ordeterminantcoefficientofr²=0.40%. Test resultsare significancefora significance greaterthan 0.05, then liquidity does not effect on cooperative capitalstructure. The effect ofthe businessriskoncooperative capital structurewithcorrelationcoefficientof r=-0.015orthedeterminant coefficient of r²=0%. Significance testresultscan be seenthat the significance level of 0.910 is greaterthan0.05, businessriskdoes then noteffecton cooperativecapitalstructure. And(6) Effect of company size cooperativecapital structurewitha correlationcoefficientof =0.502orthe (r) determinantcoefficientof r²=25.20%. Resultsof significance testsof 0.000is smallerthan0.05, it meansfirm sizeeffect oncooperative capitalstructure.

Simultaneously, the effect of the sales stability, profitability, assetstructure, liquidity, business risk, and company size on cooperative capital structure can be explained from multiple correlation analysis in the following table 3:

Table 3: Model Summary Multiple Regression

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	
1	.708a	.502	.445	8.36641	

a. Predictors: (Constant), Sales Stability, Profitability, Asset Structure, Liquidity, Business Risk and Company Size

Based on the analysisin Table3, it can be explained that determinant factor of sales stability, profitability, assets tructure, liquidity, business risk and company size simultaneously have a very strong effect, with a correlation coefficient of 0.708 or coefficient determinant of 50.20%. Significance test result can be seen that significance level of

0.000, less than 0.05, it can be statedthatsix of determinant factors offinancial performanceeffect on cooperative capitalstructuresignificantly. The resultsofmultiple regressionequationusedtopredicthow muchthe variablecooperative capitalstructurechange whenthere arechange invariables ofsales stability, profitability, assetstructure, liquidity, business risk, andcompany size. Results of regression analysisare presented n the following table 4:

Table 4: Calculation of Coefficients Regression

			ndardized	Standardi		
		Coe	efficients	zed		
				Coefficie		
				nts		
	Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	770	1.466		525	.602
	Sales Stability	.019	.024	.096	.769	.445
	Profitability	002	.112	002	016	.987
	Asset Structure	.100	.027	.518	3.699	.001
	Liquidity	002	.005	072	409	.684
	Business Risk	.019	.303	.071	.392	.697
	Company Size	.093	.058	.192	1.603	.115

a. Dependent Variable: Capital Structure

Linear regression equation is:

Y = -0.770 + 0.019X1 - 0.002X2 + 0.100X3 - 0.002X4 + 0.019X5 + 0.093X6

Based on the significance test, it can be seen that with a significance level of 0.000, less than 0.05, it can be concluded that sales stability, profitability, asset structure, liquidity, business risk, and company size effectoncooperative capital structure. Constants significance testing can be done by comparing the value ttable with t count value, for a 5% error level test of the parties with df = n-2, the obtained ttable of 1,997. If -1.997 \leq t \leq 1.997 mean that there is a significant effect ofsix independent variables oncooperative capital structure as dependent variable.

6. DISCUSSION

Based on results of partial statistical analysis shows that only 3 financial performances are analyzed as determinant factors of cooperative capital structure that are sales stability, asset structure, and company size, but Profitability, liquidity, and business risk are not a determinant factor of cooperative capital structure.

Sales stability, asset structure, and company size become determinant of cooperatives capital structure can be described as follows; (a) Cooperative ability on maintaining sales stability or member services will be determinant in the decision of acquisition of debt as a funding source. This illustrates that if member service activity can be improved bycooperative, the cooperative should find additional sources of financing, the easiest one is sourced by loan rather than from other sources such as members deposit, if member deposit must be increased have to wait this decisionon member meeting, or capital

sources fundedby cooperative business surplus, usually is very small amount. (b) Asset structure has a significant effect on cooperative capital structure, asset structure pledged as collateral for cooperative loans, greater ratio of asset structure shows that cooperative increasingly ability to provide collateral for their loans, in other words cooperative more solvable. (c) Company size effects on capital structure, it can be explained that the cooperative has bigger size of cooperative company ithas greater opportunity for obtaining fund from loan. Or in other words, the greater cooperative size is easier to access loan capital sources. Thus, based on the analysis and findings hypothesis 1,3 and 6 are upheld and accepted.

Variables of profitability, liquidity, and business risk is not a determinant factor of cooperative capital structure, that can be explained: (a) There is no effect of profitability oncooperatives capital structure, it means the ability of cooperatives to raise capital sourced from capital loans are not determined by cooperative profitability, this condition illustrates that decision to increase capital resources derived from loan does not consider the level of profitability, since most of small of cooperative profitability and cooperative goal is not profit oriented but service oriented for their members. (b) The results of this analysis showed that the level of liquidity is not a significant effect on cooperative capital structure, because loan as source of cooperative capitalisobtained by cooperative mostlyfrom government credit program, which is channeled through cooperatives or for the cooperative itself, such as business credit (Kredit Usaha Rakyat), loans disbursed by Institute of Management Revolving Funds (Lembaga Pengelolaan Dana Bergulir), a soft loan from the partnership program (Program Kemitraan) and so on, in which direction the distribution in order to empower cooperatives and SMEs, and not pay attention to the ability of liquidity. And (c) The business risk variable has no effect on cooperative capital structure, because that lender to ignore the risks facing cooperative, as described beforebusiness risk is measured by deviation of expected return with real return. Return obtained year after year cooperative relatively stable thus indicating the occurrence of low volatility. It can be seen in ROE obtained fromcooperative population during the last three years of growth averaged only 0.21% per year. Hence, hypothesis 2,4 and 5 were not upheld and accepted and therefore rejected.

This research study revealed that simultaneously six of financial performance:sales stability, profitability, asset structure, liquidity, business risk, and company size as determinant factors that effect on cooperative capital structure, these result are basically consistent with previous studies. Thus, based on the analysis and findings hypothesis 7 are upheld and accepted.

7. CONCLUSION

The conclusion from this study include: In partial analysisare not all of financial performance as determinant

factors of cooperative capital structure, only financial performance that measured by sales stability, asset structure, and company size. Profitability, liquidity, and business risk are notas determinant factors of cooperative capital structure, but based on the multiple correlation analysis show that six of financial performance variables as measured by sales stability, profitability, asset structure, liquidity, business risk, and company size as determinant factors that effect oncooperative capital structure.

8. IMPLICATIONS

This research study has implications in both practical and theoretical aspects. As far as the theoretical aspect has been concerned, this research study is not only highlighting the importance of cooperative financial performance has an effect on cooperative capital structure. If cooperative financial manager makes decision especially related to raise capital source from debt has to consider the several financial performance factors that effect on cooperative capital structure, partially that are sales stability, asset structure, and company size, but simultaneously, sales stability, profitability, asset structure, liquidity, business risk, and company size asdeterminants of cooperative capital structure. So, if the sources of cooperative capital will be increased especially from debt must be considered by those factors.

Meanwhile on the theoretical implications end, this study is evolving a new role that sales stability, profitability, asset structure, liquidity, business risk, and company size as determinant factors context by putting it as a predictor of cooperative capital structure and this theory can be extended in this dimension.

9. LIMITATIONS & FUTURE RESEARCH

This research study has been conducted in the largest cooperativeinWest Java, Indonesia, due to lack of resources and time. Future researchers can replicate it on more cooperative level including medium and small cooperatives and also not only in West Java but nationally. Sample size was small for this research but in future needs larger samples. Future research can also use non-financial performance variables rather than only financial performance.

10. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises are for Allah SWT Almighty who gives us sense and wit to complete this research study with the best of our knowledge. Furthermore the author is presented immense regards to the colleagues which were all along us while drafting this research study.

REFERENCES

Journal Paper References

ACARAVCI, Songul KAKILLI. 2015. The Determinants of Capital Structure: Evidence from the Turkish

- Manufacturing Sector. *International Journal of Economics and Financial* Issues Vol. 5, No. 1, 2015, pp.158-171 ISSN: 2146-4138.
- Bauer, P. 2004, Determinants of Capital Structure: Empirical Evidence from the Czech Republic, Czech Journal of Economics and Finance, 54, 2-21.
- Booth L., Aivazian V., Demirguc-Kunt A., &Maksimovic V. 2001. Capital Structure in Developing Countries. *Journal of Finance*, 56, pp.87-130.
- Ghosh, Arvin, F Cai& Li. 2000. The Determinants of Capital structure, *American Business Review*, 18, 2 p. 129.
- Huang, G., Song, F. 2005. The Determinants of Capital Structure: Evidence from China, China Economic Review, 17(1), 14-36.
- Jensen & Meckling. 1976. Theory of The Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Cost and Ownership Structure, *Journal of Financial Economic* 3, 305-316.
- Lim, Thian Cheng. 2012. Determinants of Capital Structure Empirical Evidence from Financial Services Listed Firms in China. *International Journal of Economics and Finance* Vol. 4, No. 3; March 2012.
- Myers, SC. 1996. "The Capital Structure Puzzle" *Journal of Finance*, 39: 575 -592
- Myers, SC.andMajluf. 1984. "Corporate Financing and Investment Decisions when Firms Have Information that investor Do Not Have" *Journal of Financial Economics*, 39, 1984, pp 187 221.
- Nyanamba, Steve Ondieki, et al. 2013. Factors that Determine the Capital Structure among Micro-Enterprises: A Case Study of Micro-Enterprises in Kisii Town, Kenya. American International Journal of Contemporary Research Vol. 3 No. 7; July 2013.
- Qian Y.M., Tian Y., &Wirjanto T.S. 2007. An Empirical Investigation into the Capital-Structure Determinants of Publicly Listed Companies: A Static Analysis, University of Waterloo.
- Sangeetha, Ms.M. and N.Sivathaasan. 2013. Factors Determining Capital Structure: A Case study of listed companies in Sri Lanka. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting,* ISSN 2222-1697 (Paper) ISSN 2222-2847 (Online) Vol.4, No.6, 2013.
- Serghiescua, Laura and ViorelaLigiaVaidean. 2014. Determinant factors of the capital structure of a firm- an empirical analysis. *Procedia Economics and Finance* 15 (2014) 1447 1457.
- Sutaryo Salim. 2000. Permodalan Koperasi: Masalah, Tantangan dan Peluang Menghadapi Era Persaingan Global, pada Lokakarya Penguatan Koperasi melalui Pembaruan UU Koperasi dan Kebijakan Pelatihan Perkoperasian.Jatinangor-Bandung.
- Titman, S. and Wessels, R. 1988. The Determinants of Capital Structure Choice, The Journal of Finance, vol. 43, pag. 1-19.

- Tomak, S. 2013. *The Impact of Overconfidence on Capital Structure in Turkey*, International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 3(2), 512-518.
- Yolanda, K., Soekarno, S. 2012.Capital Structure Determinants of Indonesian Plantation Firms: Empirical Study on Indonesian Stock Exchange.2nd International Conference on Business, Economics, Management and Behavioral Sciences.
- Wahab, R.A., Amin, M.S.M., Yusop, K. 2012. Determinants of Capital Structure of Malaysian Property Developers. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 11(8), 1013-1021.
- Wahab, S.N.A., Ramli, N.A. 2014.The Determinants of Capital Structure: An Empirical Investigation of Malaysian Listed Government Linked Companies. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 4(4), 930-945.
- Wald J. K. 1999. How Firm Characteristics Affect Capital Structure: An International Comparison. *Journal* of Financial Research, 22, pp. 161-188.
- Windayu, CindeRirih. 2016. Factors Affecting the Capital Structure in Textile and Garment Listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management* (IOSR-JBM) e-ISSN: 2278-487X, p-ISSN: 2319-7668. Volume 18, Issue 10. Ver. VII (October. 2016), PP 83-88.
- Wiwattanakantang, Y. 1999. An Empirical Study on the Determinants of the Capital Structure of the Thai Firms, *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, 7, 371 403.

Book References

- Agus Sartono. 2001. *Manajemen Keuangan*, Edisi 3. Yogyakarta: Universitas Gajah Mada.
- Brigham E F, Gapenski L, Daves P. 1999. *Intermediate Financial Management*, Sixth Edition. Tokyo: The Dryden Press.
- Brigham E F,& Houston.2001, Manajemen Keuangan, Edisi 8. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Cornett, Adair & Nofsinger. 2012. Finance: Applications & Theory. McGraw-Hill Irwin.
- Gujarati, Damodar N. 1995. "Basic Econometrics". Singapore: McGraw Hill, Inc.
- Hanel Alfred. 1989. Organisasi Koperasi, Pokok-pokok Pikiran mengenai Organisasi Koperasi dan Kebijakan Pengembangan di Negara-negara Berkembang. Bandung: Universitas Padjadjaran.
- Horne, JV dan Wachowics Jr. 1998. *Prinsip-Prinsip Manajemen Keuangan*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Ministry of Cooperative and SME's of Indonesia. 2001. *Undang-undang Republik Indonesia No.25 Tahun 1992 tentang Perkoperasian*.
 - . 2016. Laporan Keuangan Koperasi.
- Ramudi Ariffin. 2003. Ekonomi Koperasi. Bandung: Ikopin.

Ropke Jochen. 2002. Entrepreneurship Training for Cooperative Leader and Official, Training Material, Jatinangor-Bandung.

